UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			· ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,783	03/12/2004	Tohru Mamata	008312-0308754	5615	
	7590 11/23/200 VINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500			KARIMI, PEGEMAN		
MCLEAN, VA	22102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/798,783	MAMATA, TOHRU		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		Pegeman Karimi	2029	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
	D 09 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of
this applicat places the a	ion, applicant must timely file one of the follow pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a No st for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or
a) X The period	od for reply expres <i>Three</i> months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period event, ho	od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A owever, will the statutory period for reply expire late	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o	tate of the final rejection.	
MONTHS	r Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(n).		
peen filed is the date CFR 1.17(a) is calcu above, if checked.	nay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the purposes of determinings period of extension plated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened Any reply received by the Office later than three model adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the following statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action	ension fee under 3 ; or (2e)t ás rth in (b)
of filing the I	of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	f will not be entered	hoosuso
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	because
	raise new issues that would require further co raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		/ i ⊏ below),	
			aduaina ar aimhlifuina	the issues for
appea	are not deemed to place the application in be al; and/or			the issues for
	present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
	E: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
=	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		compliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s		A L . 61 L	
the non-allo	oosed or amended claim(s) would be a wable claim(s).			
how the nev	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is pro of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
, ,	ected to:			
Claim(s) rej				
Claim(s) wit	hdrawn from consideration:			
	THER EVIDENCE			
because ap	t or other evidence filed after a final actio n, b plicant failed to provide a showing of good an t earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavi entered bec	t or other evidence filed after the date of filing ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to do do and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	vit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
	st for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. □ Note the a	ttached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.	·	(lanh D. NGUYEN	m/n~
		CH	IANH D. NGUYEN	
				455 120

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

^{*}Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations:

*"a calculator configured to calculate a target brightness level... by the detector" to indep endent claim 1,

[&]quot;the predetermined brightness step ... dividing a brightness changeable range" to independent claims 1 and 9,

[&]quot;calculating a target brightness ... with the detected lightness" to independent claim 9,